



BY MARK PAGE, MANAGING PARTNER

## BANK OF ENGLAND OR DEMOCRACY?

THROUGH THE EYES OF A HEDGE FUND MANAGER



Whatever one thinks about her, the way the Truss Government fell should concern all who care about democracy. We're not denying that Liz Truss and her erstwhile Chancellor Kwasi Kwarteng made serious mistakes, they did, but they nevertheless had a mandate of sorts for their manifesto.

Contrary to popular opinion, Truss was sunk, not by financial markets, but by poor financial regulation, poor crisis management, and the errant implementation of monetary policy. Perhaps markets would have ultimately destroyed her, they probably would in the end, but that would have taken months, if not years had the Bank of England retained control. Just look at the Euroarea's mistakes!

It is surreal watching the Bank of England (BoE) trying to land the British economy in a manner no sane central bank would wish to attempt.

To put this in context, most commercial airlines require a fully stabilised approach at 500ft for a landing to be attempted. Meanwhile the Bank of England approached rising inflation at the speed of heat, too fast to deploy either flaps or undercarriage, as the Monetary Policy Committee (MPC) half-activated the brakes in a desperate attempt to slow the economy.

Only to find its FPC co-pilot (Financial Policy Committee) had simultaneously lost control of the LDI pension fund sector requiring an emergency Gilt buying rescue. Which of course acts in the opposite direction to MPC tightening.

**Whatever its failings, the UK Government was rightly concerned about this loss of control.** Which prompted a coordinated attack on Rishi Sunak's proposal for a new power to allow the elected Government to overrule the BoE's decisions in certain circumstances. Sam Woods, a deputy governor at the Bank of England and Nikhil Rathi, head of the FCA, both used speeches at the Mansion House to warn that the proposal would undermine their independence.

Which it would do.

So, at what point should an elected government intervene to overrule the actions of a BoE that appears to be prioritising self-interest over that of the UK body public? It has to be a concern if the BoE is seen to be interfering in the democratic process while failing to explain wild deviations from its given mandate.

## BoE must engage with the nature of the problem it is facing

The BoE has for whatever reason failed to correctly identify the true enemy the UK economy has been up against since 2008 – stalled labour productivity growth. And the profound effect this has on the operation of monetary policy.

This productivity stall bounced the UK economy into a complex zero- $r^*$  world – when the natural real rate of interest ( $r^*$ ) falls to zero or below.

A world, in which the BoE's forecasting models and idea frameworks are entirely unsuitable for safe navigation.

Opening the door to predictable and predicted mistakes with both UK inflation and growth. Including Eriswell's March 2021 prediction of an inflationary firestorm up ahead.

## 'FOUR MUSTS' now Required for UK to Thrive

1. The BoE MUST finally get on top of UK inflation and stop it winging around like it is. To do this it must belatedly embrace the new ideas and models which would have enabled it to act swiftly and firmly to rising inflation. As mentioned, these new models correctly predicted the ongoing inflation storm back in March 2021, alongside the fact that the UK's predicament was worse than most Western countries.

Other central banks face similar predicaments – the Euroarea in particular – but that is another matter. Whatever way one looks at it, the BoE's policy decisions have been egregious.

2. Tasked with ensuring financial stability, the BoE's Financial Policy Committee (FPC) mandated the recent Gilt buying package to rescue UK LDI pension funds which had blundered in the face of rising bond yields. Henceforth, the FPC MUST better understand the plumbing of UK financial markets. Otherwise, a repeat of 2008 beckons when misunderstandings surrounding the shadow banking system (CDOs, CLOs, etc.) became deeply problematic.

For the FPC to be pulling against the MPC at a critical time like this is unwelcome and suboptimal to say the least.

3. The UK Government MUST redouble its focus on the UK's labour productivity problem. It is only faring better than Italy amongst its G-7 stablemates! To be fair, France and to some extent the US are not doing much better, but the US is doing much more about it and making valuable progress.
4. The Government MUST better communicate its policies to financial markets and the public at large. Social pressures are unlikely to be amenable to significant austerity for some time. According to our models, it is sub-optimal in the extreme to try and establish sweeping austerity programmes until a state of stable growth and steady inflation has been attained.

At that point fiscal policy can be gradually tightened as the BoE is free to ease once more to accommodate any secondary shocks to employment and growth.

Finally, for this to work, financial markets must understand and buy-in to the new and credible fiscal trajectory.

## Conclusion

**The good news is that an escape route from this turmoil remains available – just!** Moreover, the UK is ultimately in a better position to engage with the underlying labour productivity issue than its European counterparts.

If, however, the BoE fails to get the economy back onto a stable track, UK inflation will likely begin to balloon downwards later in 2023 during what is now all but certain to be an unnecessarily severe recession.

Leading to yet more knee-jerk monetary policy moves on the part of the BoE, inflicting needlessly severe damage upon the UK economy.

Make no mistake about it, under such an outcome the Bank of England's independence will most definitely come under public scrutiny. Whether it likes it or not.

For any enquiries or comments, you may have, please contact us at [info@eriswell.com](mailto:info@eriswell.com). We look forward to hearing from you.



Authorised and Regulated by  
the Financial Conduct Authority

Copyright 2022

#### **Important Disclosure**

This document is issued and approved by Eriswell Capital Management (UK) LLP ("Eriswell"), which is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority (the "FCA"). The information contained in this document is strictly confidential and intended for distribution to persons who are either institutions or other investors who meet the "Retail", "Professional" or "Eligible Counter Party" classifications as defined by the FCA. Furthermore, the information contained in this document is strictly confidential and may not be reproduced or further distributed. The information and opinions contained in this document are subject to updating and verification and may be subject to amendment. No representation, warranty, or undertaking, express or limited, is given as to the accuracy or completeness of the information or opinions contained in this document by Eriswell, its partners or employees. No liability is accepted by such persons for the accuracy or completeness of any such information or opinions. As such, no reliance may be placed for any purpose on the information and opinions contained in this document. The value of investments and any income generated may go down as well as up. Past performance is not necessarily a guide to future performance. Investors may not get back the amount invested. Eriswell is not registered as an investment advisor with the SEC and therefore this document is neither directed at nor intended for US investors.

#### **Important Notice**

This communication is from Eriswell Capital Management LLP, 26-28 Molesey Road, Hersham, Surrey, KT12 4RQ, United Kingdom. Eriswell Capital Management LLP is Registered in England, Limited Liability Partnership Number OC334533. The Registered Office is 26-28 Molesey Road, Hersham, Surrey, KT12 4RQ, United Kingdom. Eriswell Capital Management LLP is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority to provide investment advisory services to qualified investors. This email is neither an offer to sell nor a solicitation to invest. Past performance is not indicative of future results. The value of investments and any income generated may go down as well as up and is not guaranteed. Opinions, conclusions and other information in this e-mail and any attachments which do not relate to the official business of the firm are neither given nor endorsed by it. This e-mail is for the exclusive use of the intended recipient(s). If you are not the intended recipient(s) please note that any form of disclosure, distribution, copying or use of this communication or the information in it or in any attachments is strictly prohibited and may be unlawful. If you have received this communication in error, we would be grateful if you would return it with the title "Received in Error" to [info@eriswell.com](mailto:info@eriswell.com) then delete the email and destroy any copies of it. E-mail communications cannot be guaranteed to be secure or error free. This e-mail will have been scanned by our anti-virus software before transmission. We cannot however, warrant that this e-mail is free from viruses. We do not accept liability for the consequences of any viruses that may be inadvertently be attached to this e-mail. Anyone who communicates with us by e-mail is taken to accept the risks in doing so. When addressed to our clients, any opinions or advice contained in this e-mail and any attachments are subject to the terms of business in force between Eriswell Capital Management LLP and the client.